



NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

This paper summarises analysis to date of the NFR intent and business model to clarify more detailed design parameters for the jurisdictions to work within.

The analysis is based on the NFR ILM V1.0 and suggests more detailed potential business outcomes based on the issues identified in NFR workshops attended by ACT.

| Clarification sought | Analysis |
|----------------------|--|
| | OF OF IN |
| | |
| | |
| | 14.71.14. |
| | Will I'M OF |
| | C 2P M |
| | |
| | |
| | s 47E(d), s 47C(1) |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | JADEP TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

















| Historical outcomes: | The NFR is designed to ensure prior adverse findings from any jurisdiction are discoverable when processing a new | | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|
| | application. s 47E(d), s 47C(1) | | |
| s 47E(d), s 47C(1) | s 47E(d), s 47C(1) | | |
| s 47E(d), s 47C(1) | | | |





| Reliable cross-jurisdiction compliance actioning: | Reliable coordination of well-defined firearms-adjacent events is necessary to ensure that removal of firearms from licencees is done promptly to reduce the threat of danger to the community and police. Triggers often arise in one jurisdiction but may have a cross-jurisdiction effect – e.g. a DVO in one jurisdiction triggering a seizure of firearms in another jurisdiction. |
|---|---|
| s 47E(d), s 47C(1) | SELEASE POLICE ACT 1981 |
| | s 47E(d), s 47C(1) |
| | JMDEP. |




























NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

This paper summarises analysis to date of the NFR intent and business model to clarify more detailed design parameters for the jurisdictions to work within.

The analysis is based on the NFR ILM V1.0 and suggests more detailed potential business outcomes based on the issues identified in NFR workshops attended by ACT.



s 47E(d)

Report / NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

OFFICIAL

Page / 1



OFFICIAL



s 47E(d), s 47C(1)

Report / NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

OFFICIAL

Page / 2

LEX 3537

s 47E(d)

Folio 11







\$ 47E(d), \$ 47C(1)

\$ 47E(d), \$ 47C(1)

\$ 47E(d), \$ 47C(1)

Report / NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

OFFICIAL

Page / 3

LEX 3537







| Uistaviaal autaawaa. | The NED is designed to ensure prior adverse findings from any jurisdiction are discoverable when proceeding a new | 1 |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Historical outcomes: | The NFR is designed to ensure prior adverse findings from any jurisdiction are discoverable when processing a new application. s 47E(d), s 47C(1) | |
| s 47E(d), s 47C(1) | s 47E(d), s 47C(1) | s 47E(d), s 47C(1) |
| | WENT OF IT | |
| | OCT RALINI | s 47E(d), s 47C(1) |
| | LIKE AND EET | Commented [CW8R6]: s 47E(c) please consider for Melbourne. |
| | s 47E(d), s 47C(1) | Commented [CW9] s 47E(c):comment about the NFR being a register and not a registry is important. The |
| | | s 47E(d), s 47C(1) |
| | THO. | s 47E(d), s 47C(1) |
| | | s 47E(d) |

Report / NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

OFFICIAL

Page / 4





Reliable cross-jurisdiction compliance actioning:

Reliable coordination of well-defined firearms-adjacent events is necessary to ensure that removal of firearms from licencees is done promptly to reduce the threat of danger to the community and police. Triggers often arise in one jurisdiction but may have a cross-jurisdiction effect – e.g. a DWO in one jurisdiction triggering a seizure of firearms in another jurisdiction.

SATE(d), SATC(1)

s 47E(d)

Report / NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

OFFICIAL

Page / 5



OFFICIAL



s 47E(d), s 47C(1)

s 47E(d), s 47C(1)

s 47E(d), s 47C(1)

Formatted: Font: Bold

Commented [CW15]: This is probably a question more for the individual po ice jurisdictions rather than the registries or NFR. s 47E(d), s 47C(1)

s 47E(d), s 47C(1)

s 47E(d), s 47C(1)

Formatted: Font: Bold

s 47E(d), s 47C(1)

Report / NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

OFFICIAL

Page / 6

LEX 3537

Folio 15







Page / 7

s 47E(d), s 47C(1)

Commented [CW19R17] s 47E(c) we didn't get to this in Adelaide, but it is probably more more the Melbourne forum.

Report / NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

OFFICIAL



OFFICIAL



Formatted Table s 47E(d), s 47C(1) s 47E(d), s 47C(1) Formatted: Font: Bold Commented [CW21]: Touched on this in Adelaide re notifications. s 47E(d) Formatted: Font: Bold s 47E(d), s 47C(1) Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Report / NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

OFFICIAL

Page / 8

LEX 3537





THE POSTRALIAN OF THE PRESENT OF THE

Report / NFR Business Model Analysis and Clarifications

OFFICIAL

Page / 9

Diagram Report Page: 1

Firearms Data Interfaces diagram

Application Layer diagram in package 'Firearms'

Firearms Data Interfaces Version 1.0 created on 19/11/2024. Last modified 19/11/2024 s 47E(c)

Figure 1: Firefirms Data Interface/s

Diagram Report Page: 2

Firearms information usage diagram

Business Layer diagram in package 'Firearms'

Firearms information usage Version 1.0 created on 19/11/2024. Last modified 12/12/2024 s 47E(c)

Figure 2: Firearms information usage

Diagram Report Page: 3

2023-03 National Firearms Register (NFR) diagram

Business Layer diagram in package '2023-03 Early scoping work'

2023-03 National Firearms Register (NFR) Version 1.0

s 47E(c) created on 30/03/2023. Last modified 19/04/2023

Figure 3: 2023-03 National Firearms Register (NFR)

Page 22 redacted for the following reason:

s37(2)(b), s47C(1), s47E(d)

JINDER THE PRESENTATION OF THE PRINTING AND THE PRESENTATION OF TH